



Number Theory

Book 2

"Trick" (or technique) for identifying which small integers have the form $x^2 + y^2$ or $x^2 + 3y^2$ or ...

Define $\theta(t) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} t^n = 1 + 2t + 2t^4 + 2t^9 + 2t^{16} + 2t^{25} + \dots = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} t^{n^2}$

$$\theta(t)^2 = (1 + 2t + 2t^4 + 2t^9 + 2t^{16} + 2t^{25} + \dots)(1 + 2t + 2t^4 + 2t^9 + 2t^{16} + 2t^{25} + \dots) = 1 + 4t + 4t^2 + 4t^4 + 8t^5 + 4t^8 + \dots + 12t^{25} + \dots$$

The powers of t appearing in this expansion are precisely the exponents expressible as a sum of two squares. The coefficient of t^n on the right hand side is the number of solutions of $n = x^2 + y^2$ ($x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$)

eg. $25 = x^2 + y^2$ has 12 solutions $(\pm 5, 0), (0, \pm 5), (\pm 3, \pm 4), (\pm 4, \pm 3)$

$5 = x^2 + y^2$ has 8 solutions $(\pm 2, \pm 1), (\pm 1, \pm 2)$

$6 = x^2 + y^2$ has 0 solutions.

$$\theta(t)^3 = 1 + 6t + 12t^2 + 8t^3 + 6t^4 + 24t^5 + 24t^6 + 12t^8 + \dots$$

$1 = x^2 + y^2 + z^2$ has six solutions $(\pm 1, 0, 0), (0, \pm 1, 0), (0, 0, \pm 1)$

$2 = \dots$ twelve $(\pm 1, \pm 1, 0), (\pm 1, 0, \pm 1), (0, \pm 1, \pm 1)$

$7 = x^2 + y^2 + z^2$ has 0 solutions

$\theta(t)^4$ has positive coefficient of t^n for every positive integer n

Lagrange's Theorem: every positive integer is a sum of four squares

Fundamental Theorem of Arithmetic: Every positive integer is uniquely expressible as a product of primes. We'll explain exactly what this says and we'll prove it.

Fundamental Theorem of Calculus
.. .. of Linear Algebra
.. .. of Algebra

FTA = Fundamental Theorem of Arithmetic:

Positive integers factor uniquely as products of primes.

eg. $12 = 6 \times 2 = 2 \times 3 \times 2$

$12 = 3 \times 4 = 3 \times 2 \times 2$

$12 = (-2) \times (-6) = 2 \times 6$

$12 = \boxed{1} \times 12$

Ignore factors of ± 1 in factorization: these are units. (invertible elements). In \mathbb{Z} , the only units are ± 1 .

eg. $\mathbb{Z}[i] = \{a+bi : a, b \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ (commutative ring with identity 1) we again have unique factorization. The units are $\pm 1, \pm i$.

$i \cdot (-i) = 1$

$1 \cdot 1 = 1$

$(-i) \cdot (-1) = 1$

$\mathbb{Z}[i]$ = "Gaussian integers"

$12 = 2 \times 2 \times 3 = \underbrace{(1+i)(1-i)(1+i)(1-i)}_3 = (i-1)(-i-1)(1+i)(1-i) 3$

Cannot be factored any further; they are irreducible.

$2+i = i(1-2i)$

- Elements of \mathbb{R} :
- zero
 - units
 - irreducible
 - reducible.

$10 = 2 \times 5 = (1+i)(1-i)(1+2i)(1-2i) = (1+i)(1-i)(2+i)(2-i)$

"migration of units"

A (commutative ring with identity) has unique factorization if every nonzero element can be factored as a product of irreducible elements and this factorization is essentially unique (ie. unique up to permutation of factors and migration of units).

Why don't we just say primes and composites instead of irreducible and reducible elements?

In \mathbb{Z} :
zero: 0
units: ± 1
irreducible: $\pm 2, \pm 3, \pm 5, \pm 7, \pm 11, \dots$
reducible: $\pm 4, \pm 6, \pm 8, \pm 9, \pm 10, \pm 12, \dots$

In $\mathbb{Z}[i]$:
zero: 0
units: $\pm 1, \pm i$
irreducibles: $\pm 3 = \{1+i, 1-i, -1+i, -1-i\}$
 3 actually 3, -3, 3i, -3i
 $\pm 1 \pm 2i, \pm 2i$
etc.
reducibles: 2 (actually 2, -2, 2i, -2i)

A nonzero element $\alpha \in R$ is reducible if $\alpha \neq 0$, $\alpha \neq \text{unit}$ and $\alpha = \alpha_1 \alpha_2$ with α_1, α_2 not units.

α is irreducible if the only factorizations $\alpha = \alpha_1 \alpha_2$ have either α_1 or α_2 is a unit.

eg. in $\mathbb{Z}[i]$, 3 is irreducible. If $3 = \beta\gamma$, $\beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{Z}[i]$ then either $\beta \in \{\pm 1, \pm i\}$ or $\gamma \in \{\pm 1, \pm i\}$.
2 is reducible since $2 = (1+i)(1-i)$, neither $1+i$ nor $1-i$ is a unit.

Eg. the ring $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{5}] = \{a + b\sqrt{5} : a, b \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ does not have unique factorization.

$$\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{6}] = \{a + b\sqrt{6} : a, b \in \mathbb{Z}\}$$

An example of non-unique factorization in $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{6}]$: $6 = 2 \times 3 = \sqrt{6} \times \sqrt{6}$
 $2, 3, \sqrt{6}$ are irreducible in $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{6}]$.

But be careful: $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{6}]$ has infinitely many units.

Pell's equation $x^2 - 6y^2 = 1$ has solutions $(\pm 1, 0), (\pm 5, \pm 2)$

$x^2 - 6y^2 = -1$ has no integer solutions.

(Look at the equation mod 3)

$$(5 + 2\sqrt{6})(5 - 2\sqrt{6}) = 1 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{6}]$$

In $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{5}]$, the only units are ± 1 .

Unique factorization is not universal.

Difference between $\mathbb{Z}[i]$, $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{2}]$ and $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{5}]$
unique factorization

$$15 = 3 \times 5 = (-3) \times (-5) \text{ in } \mathbb{Z}$$

$$15 = 3 \times (2+i) \times (2-i) \text{ in } \mathbb{Z}[i]$$

$$= 3 \times \underbrace{(1+i)}_{\times} \times \underbrace{(1-i)}_{\times} \times 2i$$

$$(2-i)i = 1+2i, (2+i)(-i) = 1-2i$$

migration of units

$$15 = 3 \times 5 = (3+3\sqrt{2})(-5+5\sqrt{2}) \text{ in } \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{2}]$$

$$\underbrace{\phantom{(3+3\sqrt{2})}}_{\times (1+\sqrt{2})} \times \underbrace{\phantom{(-5+5\sqrt{2})}}_{\times (-1+\sqrt{2})}$$

Infinitely many units in $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{2}]$: solutions of $(a+b\sqrt{2})(a-b\sqrt{2})=1$

$$\pm(1+\sqrt{2})^k = \pm 1, \pm 1\sqrt{2}, \pm 3\pm 2\sqrt{2}, \dots$$

$$3^2 - 2 \cdot 2^2 = (3+2\sqrt{2})(3-2\sqrt{2}) = 1$$

$$a^2 - 2b^2 = 1$$

$$6 = 2 \times 3 = (1+\sqrt{5})(1-\sqrt{5})$$

where all factors 2, 3, $1+\sqrt{5}$, $1-\sqrt{5}$ are irreducible in $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{5}]$

It holds in \mathbb{Z} , $\mathbb{Z}[i]$, $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{2}]$, $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{3}]$, $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{5}]$, $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{2}]$, $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{3}]$

Not in $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{5}]$ or $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{6}]$.

$\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{5}]$, $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{6}]$

non-unique factorization

In $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{5}]$ the only units are ± 1 . why
 If x is a unit $x = a+b\sqrt{5}$ then

$$x\bar{x} = (a+b\sqrt{5})(a-b\sqrt{5}) = a^2 - 5b^2 = 1$$

But the only integer solutions are $(a,b) = (\pm 1, 0)$.

$2, 3, 1+\sqrt{5}, 1-\sqrt{5} \in \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{5}]$ are irreducible.

why? If $2 = \alpha\beta$, $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{5}]$ then

$$\text{then } 4 = |2|^2 = |\alpha|^2 |\beta|^2$$

$$\alpha = a+c\sqrt{5}, \beta = b+d\sqrt{5}$$

$$|\alpha|^2 = (a+c\sqrt{5})(a-c\sqrt{5}) = a^2 - 5c^2$$

$$= a^2 - 5c^2$$

$$|\beta|^2 = b^2 - 5d^2$$

$$4 = |\alpha|^2 |\beta|^2 \text{ where } |\alpha|^2, |\beta|^2 \in \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, \dots\}$$

$$1 \times 4 \Rightarrow \alpha \text{ unit}$$

$$\frac{2 \times 2}{4 \times 1} \Rightarrow \beta \text{ is a unit.}$$

$a^2 - 5c^2 = 2$ has no solution.

Why does \mathbb{Z} have unique factorization?

It's rather easy to show every integer factors into primes.

$$12 = 3 \times 4 = 3 \times 2 \times 2$$

There is no infinite decreasing sequence $n_1 > n_2 > n_3 > n_4 > \dots > 0$ in the positive integers.

The positive integers are well-ordered. (Equivalently, use induction).

Why is the prime factorization of a positive integer n unique?

Can $n = p_1 p_2 p_3 \dots p_r = q_1 q_2 q_3 \dots q_s$ where $p_1, p_2, \dots, p_r, q_1, q_2, \dots, q_s$ are primes?

We would need to show that every prime on the left (every p_i) occurs also among q_1, \dots, q_s .

Basic step: If p is a prime and $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $p \mid ab$, then show $p \mid a$ or $p \mid b$.

Euclid's Lemma If a prime p divides ab in \mathbb{Z} , then $p \mid a$ or $p \mid b$.

("or" is inclusive. Eg. $3 \mid 6 \cdot 9 \Rightarrow 3 \mid 6$ or $3 \mid 9$.)

$6 \mid 4 \cdot 9$ but $6 \nmid 4$, $6 \nmid 9$. We really need p to be prime.

plh says: $pk = ab$ for some k . Can we argue: p is a prime factor on the left so it's a factor on the right so p is a factor in a or in b . No! This is a common fallacy.

Proof of Euclid's Lemma: Suppose $p|ab$ i.e. $pk = ab$ for some k .
Either $p|a$ or $p|a$. If $p|a$ we're done. Hence we may assume $p \nmid a$.

Then $\gcd(p, a) = 1 = rp + sa$ $\leftarrow p$ not in this list.
for some $r, s \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Divisors of a : $\pm 1, \dots, \pm a$

Divisors of p : $\pm 1, \pm p$.

$b = rbp + sab$ is divisible by p since both terms rbp and sab are divisible by p . \square

If $p|abc$ where p is prime then $p|a$ or $p|b$ or $p|c$.

$p|abc \Rightarrow p|a$ or $p|bc \Rightarrow p|a$ or $p|b$ or $p|c$.

This argument extends to any number of factors i.e. if $p|q_1 q_2 \dots q_l$ where p is prime then $p|q_j$ for some $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, l\}$. (To formalize this argument, use induction.)

Fundamental Theorem of Arithmetic Every positive integer has a unique factorization as a product of primes.

If $n = p_1 p_2 \dots p_r = q_1 q_2 \dots q_s$ where $p_1, p_2, \dots, p_r, q_1, q_2, \dots, q_s$ are primes then $p_1|n$ so $p_1|q_j$ for some $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, s\}$ so $p_1 = q_j$. Cancel this prime factor from both sides and repeat the argument with the remaining prime factors.

On to Chapter 9.

Look at powers in $\mathbb{Z}/7\mathbb{Z} = \mathbb{F}_7 = \{0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \pm 3\}$ eg. $3^2 = 9 = 2$, $\frac{1}{4} = 2$.

| x | x^0 | x^1 | x^2 | x^3 | x^4 | x^5 | x^6 | x^7 | x^8 |
|--------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 2 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 4 |
| 3 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 6 | 4 | 5 | 1 | 3 | 2 |
| -3 = 4 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 2 |
| -2 = 5 | 1 | 5 | 4 | 6 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 5 | 4 |
| -1 = 6 | 1 | 6 | 1 | 6 | 1 | 6 | 1 | 6 | 1 |

$$-3 = 6+6+6 = 7$$

palindromic sequence $1, 4, 2, 2, 4, 1$
 $x^5 = x^{-1} = \frac{1}{x}$ if $x \neq 0$

Theorem Let p be prime. Then for all $a \in \mathbb{F}_p = \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} = \{0, 1, 2, \dots, p-1\}$, $a^p = a$;
furthermore $a^{p-1} = 1$ if $a \neq 0$.

Remark We really do need p to be prime.

"Fermat's Little Theorem" can be rephrased as:
Let p be prime. Then for all $a \in \mathbb{Z}$, $a^p \equiv a \pmod{p}$. Moreover if $p \nmid a$ (p doesn't divide a)
then $a^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$.

Solve the linear system $\begin{cases} 3x + 5y = 2 \\ 4x + 7y = 5 \end{cases}$ for $x, y \in \mathbb{F}_{11} = \{0, 1, 2, \dots, 10\}$

$$\boxed{\frac{1}{3} = 4}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 3 & 5 & | & 2 \\ 4 & 7 & | & 5 \end{bmatrix} \sim \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 9 & | & 8 \\ 4 & 7 & | & 5 \end{bmatrix} \sim \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 9 & | & 8 \\ 0 & 4 & | & 6 \end{bmatrix} \sim \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 9 & | & 8 \\ 0 & 1 & | & 7 \end{bmatrix} \sim \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & | & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & | & 7 \end{bmatrix}$$

The unique solution is $(x, y) = (0, 7)$.

Check: $(0, 7)$ satisfies both equations.

$$7 - 3 \cdot 4 = 7 - 12 = 4$$

$$5 - 3 \cdot 2 = 5 - 6 = -1 = 10$$

$$8 - 6 \cdot 4 + 6 = 8 - 24 + 6 = -10 = 1$$

Computing a^p and a^{p-1} may be infeasible but computing $a^p \bmod p$ and $a^{p-1} \bmod p$ is feasible even when a, p are hundreds of digits long.

Mathematica commands `Mod[a^k, n]` computes $a^k \bmod n$. This will fail if a, k are hundreds of digits long. Instead use the command `PowerMod[a, k, n]`

"Modular exponentiation" is a very important operation in cryptography, primality testing, pseudorandom number generation, etc.

Factorization of integers is much harder than primality testing.

(believed to be) non-poly. time.
infeasible

polynomial-time
feasible